GM 1911

117 3 11

SL No. 14, K 21

Increase & pay to the Draughtsman K

Photographer & Asst Photographer & the

Archaeological Office

Previous references.
Gen. 40-08 087.10

G.M. File No. 117-11

1912
(Genl. Misc.)

Serial Nos.

Later references.
Gen. 73-12
Gen. 320-17 Kb 568

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1421

Part B for August 1912

SUBJECT.

Increase of pay to the Draughtsman & Photographer & Asst Photographer of the Archaeological Office

---

8.14 From the Officer in charge of Archaeol Researches
No 1388 of 9.4.12
Recommends increases of pay by Rs.5 & Rs.2/-25/- &
to the Draughtsman & Photographer and Assistant Photographer.

21 To —do— & Comptroller Hyr Br II. No. G. 283-68 12 7/12
Sanctions the increase of pay recommended in the
above from 1.7.12 (increase to be treated as personal allowance)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Jen : Mis)

NOTES.

Gen File No. 117 11/12

FILE HEADING.

Establishment of the Archaeological Department.

From

The Officer in charge of Archl Researches.

No. 138 Dated 9 4 12 Received 11 4 12.

Diary No. 4391	Enclos. —	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 14

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends an increase of Rs 5/- to the pay of T. Namasivayam Pillay, Draughtsman and Photographer of his office and of Rs 2 to the pay of Abdul Rahiman, Assistant Photographer.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Archaeological officer had made a similar recommendation in Apl 1910, but the Govt in their Pro: ~~of~~ No. G 6057-2/Gen. 40-09-10 of 18th May 1910 declined to sanction the same merely on the ground of their pay being stationary for a number of years past. That officer

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

fm File No. 117 of 191/-12

Serial No.

now reports ~~that~~ owing to the addition to epigraphy to which the work of this deptt was formerly exclusively confined, of other branches of Archaeology such as architecture, sculpture, numismatics &c, the work of the Photographer and of his Assistant has of late considerably increased, and therefore strongly recommends the grant of the increases to them.

2- As the proposal involves an addl recurring expenditure, may Br II be consulted

Subd 16.4.12 VS. 41

3. In view of the circumstances explained by the Archaeological Officer, his recommendations may be favourably considered. To Br II for opinion.

17.4.12.

4 Submitted The proposal involves increase recurring N-86 20/4 Exp^re of Rs P.a. and no positive statement of the Expenditure in the Archaeological 23 Department in Mysore according to current year's Budget is Rs. 13,800 and in British India the budgeted Exp^re for 08-09 ~~is Rs. 1,00,000 & the actuals for 1907-08 are not available~~ (Estimates for later years not available) is Rs. 1,90,200 Rs- ~~the Expenditure should accordingly be~~.

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G R File No. 117 of 1911-2

Serial No.

Our Expenditure should approximately be 1/40 of the British India figure i.e. about 4754 Rs. ~~It will~~ while as a matter of fact it is 13,800 i.e. nearly three times what it should be. It is therefore undesirable to increase the expenditure ~~on~~ in this Department.

5. Again in British India there are 7 photographers & 9 draftsmen - only one draftsmen is paid more than 100 Rs, ~~all the others get less~~ and one photographer (75-100). All the others get pay ranging from 30 to 75 Rs. It would thus appear that the pay given to our photographer Vis 95 Rs is none too low and ~~this is not sufficient reason to increase it~~ need not be increased.

6. The increase from Rs 10 to Rs 12 proposed for the photographic assistant is based on the fact that he has served for nearly 24 years. If an increase is given which, the scale will be permanently increased and a new entrant will draw the ~~same~~ increased pay. It is a general axiom that the whole time of an officer is at the disposal of Govt and he cannot claim extra remuneration for ~~natural~~ the natural increase in work which is a matter of fact, foreign in all departments.

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

Serial No.

97.8
429

7 ~~Indeed~~ If for no other reason, at least for the sake of consistency, we must refuse the increase which has been refused more than once already. Were we to do otherwise it would amount to an admission that Kuers and Sweeney's people persist in presenting such claims until forced to abandon them.

de
24/4/12

for V
Submitted
V.S. 41
25.4.1912 Secy)

hm
25/4/12

8. It is nearly 2 years since the request for an increase was declined. Though no doubt the considerations urged in the Fin. Dept note above are weighty, it has to be urged on the other side that a good workman who has rendered useful service for a long time, or whose work is largely increased, deserves adequate remuneration. An occasional good word, reward or promotion puts heart into a man & makes him do his duty cheerfully. There is no higher appointment to which these men can look forward to & the only way to reward them is to give them a small increase of pay.

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M File No. 117 of 1911-12

Serial No.

9. The recommendation of the Archl Officer is for a very moderate increase of emoluments.

1st Cr

CSB
26/4/12

330. 10. Though I think that the Pay is liberal enough for the draughts. man, still in response to repeated recommendations (& for rounding off) we have I am inclined to support the recommendation to raise it to 100 Rs. The increase of 2 Rs to the other man may also be given.

27/4/12

11. Devan

1066
2.5.12
3

12. The Supdt of Archl Researches has given good reasons — The increase proposed may be given as Personal Allowance —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GRB—20,000—20-1-12

To Comptr 5 May 12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M. File No. 117 of 1911

Serial No.

4391

13. Inquire under the Decree

6/5/12

~~Dewan~~

Hdis 6083.

14 To Hr Secy under para 8 of Rs. 16/5/07

C&S
9/5/12

15-Submitted
N-86 Bdl. (97) Rsec 4)
14/5/912

Hz
Le.
11/5

16- I am respectfully of opinion that such applications should be resolutely and regularly rejected- The expenditure under any head should not be more than what the work demands- M
(for V) 13/5/12

Subd
Vrs(76) VS 4.
14/5/12 Secy) hm 14.5.12

1327
16.5.12

18. Resubmitted to Dewan for action under para 8 of Rs of 6/5/07

C&S
15/5/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GDB—20,000—20-1-12

Pa. 117-11

Submitted to His Highness.

The Officer in charge of Archaeological Researches recommends an increase of Rs.5 to the pay of T. Namasivayam Pillay, Draughtsman and Photographer of his office, and of Rs.2 to the pay of Abdul Rahman, Assistant Photographer, on the ground that their work has of late considerably increased.

2. The present pay of these officials is Rs.95 and 10 per mensem, respectively.

3. A similar recommendation made in April 1910 was declined as Government then saw no sufficient grounds for sanctioning the increases (vide Government Order No.6051-2/G.M.40-09-10 dated 18th May 1910).

4. The Financial Department is of opinion for the reasons given in the Financial Assistant Secretary's note dated 24th April, and for the sake of consistency that Government must refuse the increase which has been refused more than once already.

5. The First Councillor however supported the recommendation and when the papers came up before me, I recorded the opinion that the Officer in charge of

Archaeological Researches had given good reasons and that the increases proposed may be given as Personal Allowances. To this the Second Councillor also agreed.

6. As this was opposed to the views of the Financial Department, the papers were sent to the Financial Secretary, as required by the Government Order of 6th May 1907, and he states that he is "respectfully of opinion that such applications should be resolutely and regularly rejected and that the expenditure under any head should not be more than what the work demands".

7. The Archaeological Officer has stated that the work of these officials has of late increased considerably owing to the addition of other branches of Archaeology, such as Architecture, Sculpture, Numismatics,&c., and that he is convinced that both of them deserve the increases proposed. Moreover the officials in question are old and experienced and have put in 24 years service. The small increases proposed may therefore be sanctioned as personal allowances.

he

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Recommendation of the officer in charge of Archaeological Researches to increase the pay of his office Draughtsman and Photographer, and of the Assistant Photographer by Rs.5 and Rs.2 per mensem, respectively.

Order.

Sanctioned

KRW

3/7/12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 117 of 1911-12

Serial No.

4391

Draft Subd The date from which the ~~addition~~ increases sanctioned are to take effect, is noted, subject to approval as 1st July 1912 After issue, the file has to be sent to Br II for due provision being made for the increases sanctioned in the budget for 1912-13

(Res (76)

9/7/12 Secretary

8.7.12

Draft approved

9/7

Issue

10.7.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M. File No. 117 of 1911-12

Serial No.	
21.	To the Archl offr & Comptr. thro' Fin'l Branch, No. G. 283-4 d/ 12.7.12 — Sanctions the increases recommended in L.C. 14, as personal allowances with effect from 1.7.12.

VHO

Rec B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

No. 138

Mysore Archaeological Office,

Bangalore, 9th April 1912

(1)

DIARY No. 4391

1911-1912
SECTION fen: mis
117 of 1911-12
Mysore, 14

From

R. Narasimhachar, Esquire, M. A.,
Officer in charge of Archaeological Researches
in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B. A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring once more to the notice of the Government the case of T. Namassivayam Pillay, the Photographer and Draughtsman of my Office. In my letter No. 180 dated 19th April 1910, I recommended a small increase of Rs 5 to his pay after setting forth clearly some of the grounds for the recommendation. But Government, in their order No. G 6051-2/G. M40-09-10 dated 18th May 1910, were pleased to say that they did not see sufficient grounds for sanctioning the increase then and informed me that pay was fixed in accordance generally with the quality and quantity of work required and need not be necessarily increased merely on the ground of its being stationary for a number of years.

2. I may be permitted to state that the recommendation was not made merely on the ground of T. Namassivayam Pillay's pay having been stationary for a number of years, though this too, in my humble opinion, ought to carry some weight, in the interests of efficiency,

if the work turned out is specially good. His work has of late increased considerably. Formerly, the work of the Department was almost exclusively confined to epigraphy. But now, owing to the addition of other branches of archæology, such as, architecture, sculpture, numismatics &c, the photographic work has increased to such an extent that Namassivayam Pillay has to work even out of office hours to cope with the increased work. All this he does in addition to his duties as a Draughtsman. It will thus be seen that his work at present is considerably more than what it was 8 years ago when he got his present pay. I am thoroughly convinced that he deserves much more than what has been recommended for him. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to sanction the very small increase of Rs 5 to his pay this time at least.

3. With the increase in the photographic work of the Department the work of the photographic and lithographic assistant, Abdul Rahiman, has proportionately increased. He has put in more than 24 years of good service and is drawing only Rs 10 per month. I earnestly request that he may be given a small increase of Rs 2 which I am convinced he richly deserves.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimhachar

Officer in charge of Archl. Researches in Mysore.

G.R.S. 28]

10

Compte

No 2764 Gen 1179 1911-12

978-12 49 File No.

912-4-12 Serial No. 21.

Diary No. of letter replied to 4391

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

Dated

, *the* 19

Date of issue 12.7.12

Signed by: B Ramaseshaiya

READ— Govt ord no G 6051-2/ Gen 40-09-10 dated the 18th May 1910 declining for reasons stated, to sanction increases of pay recommended by T. Ramaswayam Pillay, Photographer & Draughtsman and to Abdul Rahiman Asst. Photographer of

over.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

SUBJECT.

TPB—10,000-29-9-10

U return'd 7/12

the Archaeological Office -

(2) Letter (A) no. 138 dated the 9th April 1912 from the Officer in charge of Arch Researches in Mysore bringing ~~three~~ again to the notice of Govt the case of the two officials refd. to in the G. O. read above and recommending an increase of ~~Rs~~ Rs 5/- to the pay of T. Ramaswamy Pillay, Photographer & Draughtsman & of Rs 2/- to the pay of Abdul Rahiman Asst Photographer of his office, on the ground that their work has of late considerably increased.

Order thereon: - No 9 283-4

Govt are pleased to sanction the increases ~~as~~ per mensem ~~to the pay of~~ recommended, viz; Rs 5/- (to T. N. Pillai, Photographer in ~~similar~~ & Draughtsman and Rs 2/- (to Abdul Rahiman Asst. Photographer), as personal allowances

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

5.

Let the two officials refer to be the officer in charge of Arch Researches with a sheet from the 1st July 1912.

Submitted subject to approval

Let 9/7 Office copy

for 8/7/12 SR

To the Offr in charge of Arch: Researches & the Comptroller through the Fin: Branch

Mg (76) 8/7/12

(6)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read-

Govt.Order No.G.6051-2/G.M.40-09-10, dated the 18th May 1910, declining, for reasons stated, to sanction increase of pay recommended to T.Namasivayam Pillay, Photographer & Draughtsman, and to Abdul Rahiman, Assistant Photographer of the Archaeological Office.

(2) Letter No. 138 dated the 9th April 1912, from the Officer in charge of Archaeological Researches in Mysore, bringing again to the notice of Government, the case of the two officials referred to in the Government Order ~~referred to~~ read above, and recommending an increase of Rs.5/- to the pay of T. Namasivayam Pillay, Photographer & Draughtsman and of Rs. 2/- to the pay of Abdul Rahiman, Assistant Photographer of his office, on the ground that their work has of late considerably increased.

Order thereon No.

Dated Bangalore the July 1912.

Government are pleased to sanction the increases recommended, viz., Rs.5/- per mensem to T.Namasivayam Pillay, Photographer& Draughtsman,and Rs.2/- to Abdul Rahiman,Assistant Photographer, as personal allowances with effect from the 1st July 1912.

Offg.Secretary to Government.

To

The Officer in charge of Archaeological Researches in Mysore.

,, Comptroller,Mysore Government.

S.R.N.